General Education Learning Outcomes Assessment

Analysis of Assessment Results among Student Subpopulations, Based on Data Collected Fall 2021 within the Arts and Humanities Division

Demographics among Students A within the Arts	Assessed for General Educa and Humanities Division, F (N = 613)	•
	Number	Proportion
Gender		
Female	343	56%
Male	265	43%
Unknown*	5	1%
* Not included in analysis		
Race/Ethnicity		
African American/Black	15	2%
Asian	25	4%
Filipino	63	10%
Latinx/Hispanic	300	49%
Other ^A	32	5%
Two or More Races	37	6%
White	141	23%
First Generation		
Yes	137	22%
No	204	33%
Unknown	272	44%
Veteran		
Yes	10	2%
No	603	98%
Economically Disadvantaged		
Yes	152	25%
No	461	75%
Disability on Record		
Yes	28	5%
No	585	95%

^AOther includes unknowns, as well as Native Americans and Pacific Islanders (due to low N among those two groups).

Foster Youth and LGBT Affiliation are not reported due to low N (< 10) of those two groups among students who were assessed within the Arts and Humanities Division in fall 2021.

Assessment So within the Arts a	ores by Student		21
Within the 7th to d		n of Student Sul	
	Below	Met	Exceeded
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
			("Strong")
Gender			
Female	16%	38%	45%
Male	18%	42%	40%
Race/Ethnicity			
African American/Black	7%	47%	47%
Asian	4%	48%	48%
Filipino	8%	41%	51%
Latinx/Hispanic	22%	<mark>39%</mark>	<mark>38%</mark>
Other	19%	31%	50%
Two or More Races	19%	14%	40%
White	13%	47%	40%
First Generation			
Yes	20%	39%	42%
No	15%	43%	43%
Unknown	18%	38%	44%
Veteran			
Yes	10%	70%	<mark>20%</mark>
No	17%	<mark>39%</mark>	43%
Economically Disadvantaged			
Yes	13%	37%	51%
No	19%	<mark>41%</mark>	<mark>41%</mark>
Disability on Record			
Yes	11%	32%	57%
No	17%	<mark>40%</mark>	<mark>42%</mark>

Yellow highlights denote cases flagged for disproportionate impact.

Detailed Findings from Disproportionate Impact Calculator

Percentage Point Gap Index (PPG): When the between the focal cohort's outcome is at least 2% lower than the average of all other groups and the gap is also larger than the margin of error (MOE), DI is indicated and cells are highlighted in pink.

80% Index: Values less or equal to 80% are considered to reflect disproportionate impact (i.e., pink highlighted cells).

Proportionality Index (PI): Values less than or equal to 0.80 are generally considered to reflect disproportionate impact (i.e., pink highlighted cells).

"Strong" by Race/Ethnicity:

			Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome	Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity
Cohort Nam	e Coho	ort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)	Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number
1 AfrAmn		15	7	2.45	2.65	46.67	3.69	-25.25	1.084	69.07	N/A	N/A
2 Asian		25	12	4.08	4.55	48.00	5.14	-19.58	1.115	71.04	N/A	N/A
3 Filip		63	32	10.28	12.12	50.79	8.61	-12.35	1.179	75.17	N/A	N/A
4 Latx		300	115	48.94	43.56	38.33	-9.27	-5.50	0.890	56.73	12	28
5 Other		32	16	5.22	6.06	50.00	7.31	-17.32	1.161	74.00	N/A	N/A
6 2+		37	25	6.04	9.47	67.57	26.07	-15.08	1.569	100.00	N/A	N/A
7 White		141	57	23.00	21.59	40.43	-3.43	-8.10	0.939	59.83	N/A	N/A
Total		61	13 26	4 10	0 100	43.07	•		1.00		•	

Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group)

43.0

Reference Group OR (Highest OR)

67.57

"Strong" by Veteran Status:

			Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome	Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity
	Cohort Name	Cohort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)	Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number
1	vet	10	2	1.63	0.76	20.00	-23.45	-24.79	0.464	46.03	N/A	N/A
2	not	603	262	98.37	99.24	43.45	23.45	-3.96	1.009	100.00	N/A	N/A
	•											
T	otal	61	13 26	4 100	10	0 43.07	•		1.00			

Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group)

43.07

Reference Group OR (Highest OR)

43.45

"Strong" by Economically Disadvantaged:

			Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome	Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity
Cohort	: Name C	Cohort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)	Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number
1 pell		152	77	24.80	29.17	50.66	10.09	-7.95	1.176	100.00	N/A	N/A
2 not		461	187	75.20	70.83	40.56	-10.09	-4.48	0.942	80.07	26	47
Total		61	3 26	4 10	100	43.07	•		1.00			

Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group)

43.07

Reference Group OR (Highest OR)

50.66

"Strong" by Disability on Record:

		Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome		Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity
Cohort Name	Cohort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)		Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number
1 dsps	28	16	4.57	6.06	57.14		14.75	-18.33	1.327	100.00	N/A	N/A
2 not	585	248	95.43	93.94	42.39		-14.75	-4.00	0.984	74.19	63	87
Total	6:	13 26	4 10	0 100	43.07	,			1.00			

Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group)

43.07

Reference Group OR (Highest OR)

57.14

Meets Expectations (Includes Strong) by Race/Ethnicity:

		Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome		Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity
Cohort Name	Cohort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)		Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number
1 AfrAmn	15	14	2.45	2.76	93.33		10.72	-12.62	1.126	97.22	N/A	N/A
2 Asian	25	24	4.08	4.72	96.00		13.69	-7.68	1.158	100.00	N/A	N/A
3 Filip	63	58	10.28	11.42	92.06		10.25	-6.67	1.111	95.90	N/A	N/A
4 Latx	300	233	48.94	45.87	77.67		-10.19	-4.71	0.937	80.90	17	31
5 Other	32	26	5.22	5.12	81.25		-1.71	-13.52	0.980	84.64	N/A	N/A
6 2+	37	30	6.04	5.91	81.08		-1.91	-12.62	0.978	84.46	N/A	N/A
7 White	141	123	23.00	24.21	87.23		5.67	-5.51	1.053	90.87	N/A	N/A
	_		•									
Total	6	13 50	08 10	10	0 82.8	7			1.00			

Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group)

82.87

Reference Group OR (Highest OR)

Meets Expectations (Includes Strong) by Veteran Status

		Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome		Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity		
Cohort Name	Cohort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)		Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number		
1 vet	10	9	1.63	1.77	90.00		7.25	-18.59	1.086	100.00	N/A	N/A		
2 not	603	499	98.37	98.23	82.75		-7.25	-3.02	0.999	91.95	26	44		
: Total	61	.3 50	8 10	0 100	82.87			L	1.00					
	Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group) 82.87 Reference Group OR (Highest OR) 90.00													

Meets Expectations (Includes Strong) by Economically Disadvantaged:

			Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome	Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity
	Cohort Name	Cohort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)	Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number
1	pell	152	133	24.80	26.18	87.50	6.16	-5.26	1.056	100.00	N/A	N/A
2	not	461	375	75.20	73.82	81.34	-6.16	-3.56	0.982	92.97	12	29
	1											
To	tal	61	3 508	3 100	100	82.87		- 1	1.00			

Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group)

82.87

Reference Group OR (Highest OR)

Meets Expectations (Includes Strong) by Disability on Record:

		Outcome	Percent	Percent	Outcome		Percentage		Proportionalit		Minimum	Full Equity
Cohort Name	Cohort Count	Count	(Cohort)	(Outcome)	Rate (OR)		Point Gap (PPG-	MOE	y Index (PI)	80% Rule	Equity	Number
1 dsps	28	25	4.57	4.92	89.29		6.72	-11.46	1.077	100.00	N/A	N/A
2 not	585	483	95.43	95.08	82.56		-6.72	-3.07	0.996	92.47	22	40
					-				-		. 1	-
Total	1 6	12 50	nol 10	ol 10	nl 020-	, l			1 100	I.		

Overall OR (Includes Comparison Group)

Reference Group OR (Highest OR)