#### **Program Review Summary Page**

For Instructional Programs

Program or Area(s) of Study under Review: Political Science

Term/Year of Review: Spring 2020

#### **Summary of Program Review:**

### A. Major Findings

## 1. Strengths:

- Steady satisfaction of student demand
- High quality instruction and consistent pedagogy across program
- Retention, completion, and productivity averages higher than institutional averages
- Diverse trimodal instructional delivery of core survey course

## 2. Areas for Improvement:

- In need of PLO assessment
- Increase productivity by ascertaining proper combination of instructional modality and course offerings

## 3. Projected Program Growth, Stability, or Viability:

• Program stable and anticipated to remain so.

#### B. New Objectives/Goals:

Refine online quality/quality to more accurately meet instructional goals

# **Program Review Report**

Spring 2020

This report covers the following program, degrees, certificates, area(s) of study, and courses (based on the Taxonomy of Programs on file with the Office of Academic Affairs):

Program	Political Science
Area of Study	
Degrees /	Political Science:
Certificates	AA-T
	POLI 120
	POLI 121
	POLI 125
	POLI 130
Courses	POLI 135
	POLI 140
	POLI 145
	POLI 199
	POLI 350

Taxonomy of Programs, August 2019

#### I. PROGRAM DATA

#### A. Demand

#### 1. Headcount and Enrollment

	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	Change over 3-Year Period				
	Headcount							
Within the Program	777	753	736	-5.3%				
Across the Institution	8,930	8,843	8,176	-8.4%				
	Enro	llments						
POLI-120	728	695	691	-5.1%				
POLI-121	16							
POLI-125	29	27	29					
POLI-135	31	31	12	-61.3%				
POLI-140	19	41	22	15.3%				
POLI-145	1	-	15					
Within the Program	823	794	769	-6.6%				
Across the Institution	36,525	36,115	32,545	-10.9%				
Source: SQL Enrollment Fil	Source: SQL Enrollment Files							

<u>RPIE Analysis:</u> The number of students enrolled (headcount) in the Political Science Program decreased by 5.3% over the past three years, while headcount across the institution decreased by 8.4%. Similarly, enrollment within the Political Science Program decreased by 6.6%, while enrollment across the institution decreased by 10.9%.

Enrollment in the following courses changed by more than 10% ( $\pm$ 10%) between 2016-2017 and 2018-2019:

Course with enrollment increase:

o POLI-140 (15.3%)

Course with enrollment decrease:

o POLI-135 (-61.3%)

## **Program Reflection:**

#### **DEMAND REFLECTIONS**

- Within PS, both enrollment and headcounts declined at a slower rate than the decline at institutional level indicating that the PS Program was more successful than most at navigating systemic decline.
- The negative outlier (PS 135 Spring 19) can partially be accounted for by the fact that a long-time adjunct without any experience teaching PS 135 took over the course that particular semester. Prior to that, enrollments were strong and consistent.

#### 2. Average Class Size

	2016-2017		2017-	2017-2018		-2019	Three	-Year
	Sections	Average Size	Sections	Average Size	Sections	Average Size	Average Section Size	Trend
POLI-120	19	38.3	16	43.4	17	40.6	40.7	6.0%
POLI-121	1	16.0			-	-	16.0	
POLI-125	1	29.0	1	27.0	1	29.0	28.3	
POLI-135	1	31.0	1	31.0	1	12.0	24.7	-61.3%
POLI-140	1	19.0	1	41.0	1	22.0	27.3	15.8%
POLI-145		-		1	1	15.0	15.0	
Program Average*	23	35.8	19	41.8	21	36.6	37.9	2.2%
Institutional Average*	1,474	24.8	1,406	25.7	1,313	24.8	25.1	0.0%

Source: SQL Enrollment and Course Sections Files

Average Section Size across the three-year period for courses, and both within academic years and across the three-year period for the program and institutional levels is calculated as:

<u>Total # Enrollments</u>.

Total # Sections

It is not the average of the three annual averages.

<u>RPIE Analysis</u>: Over the past three years, the Political Science Program has claimed an average of 37.9 students per section. The average class size in the program has exceeded the average class size of 25.1 students per section across the institution during this period. Average class size in the program increased slightly (by 2.2%) between 2016-2017 and 2018-2019. Average class size at the institutional level remained stable over the same period.

Average class size in the following courses changed by more than 10% ( $\pm 10\%$ ) between 2016-2017 and 2018-2019:

Course with an increase in average class size:

o POLI-140 (15.8%)

Course with a decrease in average class size:

o POLI-135 (-61.3%)

## **Program Reflection:**

#### **AVE CLASS SIZE REFLECTIONS**

- The PS program has exceeded average class sizes compared to the institutional average.
- The PS program increased average class size during this same time series.
- The low number for the PS135 is a random outlier as explained above.

#### 3. Fill Rate and Productivity

Fill Rate*				
	Enrollments*	Capacity	Fill Rate	

2016-2017	722	1,000	72.2%			
2017-2018	704	850	82.8%			
2018-2019	636	885	71.9%			
<b>Three-Year Program Total</b>	2,062	2,735	75.4%			
Institutional Level	94,614	117,777	80.3%			
Productivity*						
	Troductivity					
	FTES	FTEF	Productivity			
2016-2017		<b>FTEF</b> 4.0	Productivity 18.2			
2016-2017 2017-2018	FTES					
	FTES 72.7	4.0	18.2			
2017-2018	FTES 72.7 70.8	4.0	18.2 20.8			

RPIE Analysis: Fill rates within the Political Science Program tend to be lower than the fill rates at the institutional level. [Compare program-level rate of 75.4% to institution-level rate of 80.3% over the past three years.] Between 2016-2017 and 2017-2018, enrollment remained relatively stable and capacity decreased, resulting in an increase in fill rate. Between 2017-2018 and 2018-2019, enrollment decreased while capacity increased, resulting in a decrease in fill rate.

Productivity ranged from 17.7 to 20.8 over the three-year period. [Productivity has not been calculated at the institutional level.] The three-year program productivity of 18.8 is higher than the target level of 17.5, which reflects 1 FTEF (full-time equivalent faculty) accounting for 17.5 FTES (full-time equivalent students) across the academic year. (This target reflects 525 weekly student contact hours for one full-time student across the academic year.)

\*Note: Fill rates and productivity reported in the table do not include 8 Political Science section offerings for summer terms over the past three years. As a result, the enrollment figures reported here might differ from those reported in Section I.A.1.

## **Program Reflection:**

#### PRODUCTIVITY REFLECTIONS

- Fill rates within the PS Program were lower than institutional rates during this period. RPIE does not
  control for variation in class caps which partially accounts for the obvious validity issue of comparing
  fill rates. Nevertheless, this is an item than can/should be attended to with various remedies going
  forward.
- In terms of the more important numbers on productivity, the PS program is comparatively higher than institution rates. Despite that, I believe that productivity with the PS program can/should be higher still with various remedies.

#### 4. Labor Market Demand

This section does not apply to the Political Science Program, as it is not within the Career Technical Education Division.

#### B. Momentum

## 1. Retention and Successful Course Completion Rates

	Retention Rates (Across Three Years)		Successful Course Completion Rates (Across Three Years)			
Level	Rate		Rate vs. am Rate	Pata	Course Rate Program Rate	
Level	Rate	Above	Below	Rate	Above	Below
POLI-120	91.7%			76.9%		
POLI-121	100%	Х		100%	Х	
POLI-125	82.4%		X	69.4%		X
POLI-135	98.6%	X		89.2%	X	
POLI-140	96.3%	Х		90.2%	Х	
POLI-145	93.3%	Χ		80.0%	Х	
Program Level		91.8%		77.6%		5%
Institutional Level	89.8% 75.1%			1%		

Source: SQL Enrollment Files

**Bold italics** denote a statistically significant difference between the course-level rate and the program-level rate.

**Bold** denotes a statistically significant difference between the program-level rate and the institutional rate.

<u>RPIE Analysis:</u> Over the past three years, the retention rate for the Political Science Program was significantly higher than the rate at the institutional level. The retention rate for POLI-125 was significantly lower than the program-level rate. The retention rate for POLI-135 was significantly higher than the program-level rate. The retention rate for Political Science Program falls in the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile among program-level retention rates (across 59 instructional programs, over the past three years).

Over the past three years, the successful course completion rate for the Political Science Program was significantly higher than the rate at the institutional level. The successful course completion rate for POLI-125 was significantly lower than the program-level rate. The successful course completion rates for POLI-121, POLI-135, and POLI-140 were significantly higher than the program-level rate. The successful course completion rate for Political Science Program falls in the 48<sup>th</sup> percentile among program-level successful course completion rates (across 59 instructional programs, over the past three years).

Over the past three years, the difference between retention and successful course completion at the program level (14.2%) reflected the difference at the institutional level

<sup>--</sup> Indicates a value that is within 1% of the program level value.

(14.7%). This figure represents the proportion of non-passing grades assigned to students (i.e., grades of D, F, I, NP).

The following Political Science Program courses claimed differences (between retention and successful course completion) that exceeded 10%:

- o POLI-120 (14.8%)
- o POLI-145 (13.3%)
- o POLI-125 (13.0%)

## **Program Reflection:**

#### **RETENTIONS/COMPLETION REFLECTIONS**

- According to RPIE, the data show that for the past 3 years, the retention rate AND the successful
  course completion rate for the Political Science Program was significantly higher than the comparable
  rate at the institutional level.
- As can be expected, course level data show variation around average program rates; during this time
  period PS125 was below program averages while 135/140 were higher. Those numbers have
  consistently varied since we begin programs review, however, should this particular pattern appear
  again, then perhaps that would require course level inquiries.
- The spread between retention and completion mirrored institutional data and so nothing significant noted.

2. Student Equity

	Retention Rates (Across Three Years)		Successful Course Completion Rate (Across Three Years)	
	Program Level	Institution Level	Program Level	Institution Level
Black/African American	88.5%	85.8%	70.8%	64.2%
Hispanic			76.7%	72.9%
First Generation			77.2%	73.9%

Source: SQL Enrollment Files

**Bold italics** denote a statistically significant difference between rates at the program and institutional levels, with the lower of the two rates in **bold italics**.

Shaded cells pertaining to retention rates indicate that statistically significant differences for those groups were not found at the institutional level.

<u>RPIE Analysis</u>: This analysis of student equity focuses on the three demographic groups with significantly lower retention and/or successful course completion rates found at the institutional level (vs. the corresponding rates among all students) over the past three years. Tests of statistical significance were conducted to compare program-level and institution-level rates among the three groups listed above.

Within the Political Science Program, the retention rate among Black/African American students was higher than the retention rate at the institutional level. The difference was not statistically significant. Within the Political Science Program, the successful course completion rates were significantly higher than the rates at the institutional level for Hispanic and First Generation students.

This pattern reflects the findings from the comparison of retention and successful course completion at the program vs. institutional level (with the program-level rate exceeding the institution-level rate for retention and successful course completion). (See Section I.B.1 above).

#### **Program Reflection:**

#### **EQUITY REFLECTIONS**

- The PS program data show that the retention rate among Black/African American students was higher than the retention rate at the institutional level.
- The PS program data also show that successful course completion rates were significantly higher than the rates at the institutional level for Hispanic and First Generation students.

# 3. Retention and Successful Course Completion Rates by Delivery Mode (of Courses Taught through Multiple Delivery Modes, i.e., In-Person, Hybrid, and Online)

	Retention Rates			Successful	Course Compl	etion Rates		
	In-Person	Hybrid	Online	In-Person	Hybrid	Online		
POLI-120	93.4%	91.3%	86.8% <sup>A</sup>	81.5%	61.4% <sup>c</sup>	72.0% <sup>A</sup>		
<b>Program Total</b>	93.4%	91.3%	86.8% <sup>A</sup>	81.5%	61.4% <sup>c</sup>	72.0% <sup>A</sup>		
	Institutional Total							
In-Person vs. Hybrid	91.8%	89.0%		79.5%	72.2%			
In-Person vs. Online	89.2%		87.0%	70.2%		69.1%		
Hybrid vs. Online		87.8%	84.0%		70.1%	65.7%		

Source: SQL Course Sections Files

This table compares student performance in courses offered through multiple delivery modes within the same academic year.

**Bold italics** denote a significantly lower rate within that delivery mode.

<u>RPIE Analysis</u>: Over the past three years, one course within the Political Science Program has been offered through at least two delivery modes within the same academic year. In each year across the three-year period, POLI-120 was offered in all three formats – in-person, online, and hybrid.

#### Within the Political Science Program:

• The retention rate in online sections of POLI-120 (and therefore across the program) was significantly lower than the retention rate in in-person sections of the course.

This pattern within the program – with the retention rate in in-person sections exceeding the corresponding rate in online sections of the same course – reflects the findings at the institutional level. (At the institutional level, there was also a statistically significant difference between the retention rate in in-person sections vs. their hybrid equivalents.)

Within the Political Science Program:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A</sup>Significantly lower than in-person sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>B</sup>Significantly lower than in-person and hybrid sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Significantly lower than in-person and online sections.

- The successful course completion rate in hybrid sections of POLI-120 (and therefore across the program) was significantly lower than the successful course completion rates in in-person and online sections of the course.
- The successful course completion rate in online sections of POLI-120 (and therefore across the program) was significantly lower than the successful course completion rate in in-person sections of the course.

This pattern within the program – with successful course completion rates in in-person sections exceeding the successful course completion rates in online and hybrid sections of the same course – deviates from the findings at the institutional level, where there was not a statistically significant difference between the rates among in-person and online sections. The relationship between in-person and hybrid sections is consistent at the program and institutional levels. The relationship between the successful course completion rates among hybrid and online sections found at the program level (with online exceeding hybrid) is the opposite of the relationship found at the institutional level (where hybrid exceeds online). Both of the differences between online and hybrid sections were statistically significant.

#### **Program Reflection:**

#### RETENTION/COMPLETION ACROSS DELIVER METHOD REFLECTIONS

- Student retention in online PS courses is lower compared to in-person PS courses which mirrors the institutional pattern.
- Successful course completion rates in both the hybrid and online PS courses is lower than comparable
  in-person rates. I believe this may be the result of not actively removing inactive students from postcensus rosters. The consequence is that an inordinate number of "fails" show up which should
  properly be factored in as "drops" or "withdraws."

#### C. Student Achievement

#### 1. Program Completion

	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019
Degrees			
Political Science: AA-T	8	9	6
Institutional: AA-T Degrees	118	144	144
Average Time to Degree (in Years) <sup>+</sup>			
Political Science: AA-T	*	*	*
Institutional: AA-T	4	3	4

Source: SQL Award Files

\*Time to degree/certificate within the program reported among cohorts with at least 10 graduates within the academic year. Asterisk indicates that data have been suppressed.

+Average time to degree/certificate was calculated among students who completed a degree/certificate within 10 years (between first year of enrollment at NVC and award conferral year). Among 2018-2019 completers, the average time to degree/certificate was calculated among students who enrolled at NVC for the first time in 2009-2010 or later. Among 2018-2019 completers, the average time to degree was calculated among students who enrolled at NVC for the first time in 2009-2010 or later.

<u>RPIE Analysis</u>: The number of AA-T degrees conferred by the Political Science Program decreased by 25% between 2016-2017 and 2018-2019. Over the same period, the number of AA-T degrees conferred by the institution increased by 22%.

The Political Science Program accounted for 6.8% of the AA-T degrees conferred in 2016-2017, 6.3% in 2017-2018, and 4.2% of those conferred in 2018-2019.

For all three years, the average time to degree is not reported due to small cohort size.

#### **Program Reflection:**

#### PROGRAM COMPLETION REFLECTIONS

- In terms of considering more degrees conferred as an indicator of "success" the empirical baseline (22%) is presented without any justification or any comparative consideration of new program AA-Ts (which lowers program-specific conferral rates irrespective of actual numbers of degree conferred), changing demographic demand (since shifting demand can be entirely circumstantial)
- Small data set skews number dramatically as RPIE rightly points out.
- PS program AA-T conferral rate decrease of 25% accounted for by one less degree offered in 2018.

#### 2. Program-Set Standards: Job Placement and Licensure Exam Pass Rates

This section does not apply to the Political Science Program, as the discipline is not included in the Perkins IV/Career Technical Education data provided by the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, and licensure exams are not required for jobs associated with the discipline.

#### II. CURRICULUM

#### A. Courses

Su	ıbject	Course Number	Approval Date	Has Prerequisite* Yes/No	In Need of Revision Indicate Non-Substantive (NS) or Substantive (S) & Academic Year	To Be Archived (as Obsolete, Outdated, or Irrelevant) & Academic Year	No Change	
PC	OLI	120	8/11/2013	N/A			х	
PC	OLI	125	8/11/2013	N/A			х	
PC	OLI	135	8/11/2013	N/A			х	
PC	OLI	140	8/11/2013	N/A			х	
PC	OLI	145	8/11/2013	N/A			х	

<sup>\*</sup>As of fall 2018, prerequisites need to be validated (in subsequent process) through Curriculum Committee.

## B. Degrees and Certificates<sup>+</sup>

Degree or Certificate & Title	Implementation Date	Has Documentation Yes/No	In Need of Revision+ and/or Missing Documentation & Academic Year	To Be Archived* (as Obsolete, Outdated, or Irrelevant) & Academic Year	No Change
AA-T Political Science	N/A	Υ			х

<sup>\*</sup>As of fall 2018, discontinuance or archival of degrees or certificates must go through the Program Discontinuance or Archival Task Force.

## **Program Reflection:**

## **CURRICULUM REFLECTION**

- Everything is in order in the program
- The curriculum is in keeping with standard 2-year colleges PS program: American Government along with International Relations, Comparative Politics, and Political Theory. An additional elective, not common at most 2-year colleges is also available: PS 145 International Political Economy
- Do not anticipate any additional curriculum going forward.

<sup>\*</sup>Degrees and Certificates cannot be implemented until the required courses in them are approved and active.

#### III. LEARNING OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT

#### A. Status of Learning Outcomes Assessment

Learning Outcomes Assessment at the Course Level

		of Courses nes Assessed	•	of Courses nes Assessed
Number of Courses	Over Last	Over Last	Over Last	Over Last
	4 Years	6 Years	4 Years	6 Years
5	4	4	80%	80%

Learning Outcomes Assessment at the Program/Degree/Certificate Level

Degree/Certificate	Number of Outcomes*	Number of Outcomes Assessed		Proportion of Outcomes Assessed	
		Over Last 4 Years	Over Last 6 Years	Over Last 4 Years	Over Last 6 Years
Political Science AA-T	1	0	0	0%	0%

#### **Program Reflection:**

#### SLO ASSESSMENT REFLECTION

- PS 125 is the only course not yet assessed in the last 4 years but is currently undergoing assessment in Spring 2020.
- Assessment results reveal successful maintenance of programs standards and outcomes
- In need of program level assessment; TBD.

#### B. Summary of Learning Outcomes Assessment Findings and Actions

- Overall, findings and data in keeping with previous PS program reviews: quality instruction assessment with operationally valid measure indicates program meets and exceeds internal expectations and external institutional comparisons.
- Areas of improvement at the margin only: tracking current events to include in instructional
  materials, modifying test questions to align with declining student preparation, and finding areas to
  incorporate cross-disciplinary exposure.

#### **Program Reflection:**

Primary conclusion? The student's expressed preferences and changing direction of aggregate
demand indicate that Political Science, like other social science majors, shows the signs of an aging
academic discipline in which an overwhelming proportion of course enrollees merely wish to satisfy
the academic thresholds – this changing motivational composition is not accounted for by our current
measures of "retention," "successful completion" or outcome assessment. Under these conditions

- SLO's are suffering from external validity issues. Methodological questions aside, the PS program is holding it's own.
- In this sense, the biggest take-away from assessment and program review in general is the rqpid drop-off in the marginal benefit of these "program reviews."

#### IV. PROGRAM PLAN

Based on the information in	cluded in this document.	the program is de	scribed as being in a	a state of:
Basea on the innormation in	ciaaca iii ciiis accaiiiciic,	the programme ac	seribed as being in	<i>x</i> 5 ca cc 5

O Viability

Stability

O Growth

### This evaluation of the state of the program is supported by the following parts of this report:

Section I.A.1 – PS program enrollment maintained stable and above average institutional levels		
Section I.A.2 – PS program enrollment is 50% higher than institutional average and grew at a steady		
2% over the time series.		
Section II.A.1 – Program retention & completion have maintained higher than average numbers		
throughout each program review period (2006-2020)		
Section II.B.2 – Program equity numbers have held steady.		

Complete the table below to outline a three-year plan for the program, within the context of the current state of the program.

Program: _	Political Science	
Plan Years:	2020-23	_

Strategic Initiatives Emerging from Program Review	Relevant Section(s) of Report	Implementation Timeline: Activity/Activities & Date(s)	Measure(s) of Progress or Effectiveness
Attend to program level assessment	III.A.	2020-2021	Completion

Describe the current state of program resources relative to the plan outlined above. (Resources include: personnel, technology, equipment, facilities, operating budget, training, and library/learning materials.) Identify any anticipated resource needs (beyond the current levels) necessary to implement the plan outlined above.

<u>Note</u>: Resources to support program plans are allocated through the annual planning and budget process (not the program review process). The information included in this report will be used as a starting point, to inform the development of plans and resource requests submitted by the program over the next three years.

## **Description of Current Program Resources Relative to Plan:**

<sup>\*</sup>Please select ONE of the above.

- There is currently one-full time faculty (w/o reassignment) and two adjunct faculty working in the PS program
- Resources are satisfactory to carrying out program plan

## V. PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS

# A. Recent Improvements

Upgraded texts

# **B.** Effective Practices

- Breadth of modalities
- Cross-disciplinary and experiential exposure

#### Feedback and Follow-up Form

#### **Completed by Supervising Administrator:**

Robert Van Der Velde, Senior Dean, Arts & Sciences

#### Date:

5/1.2020

Strengths and successes of the program, as evidenced by analysis of data, outcomes assessment, and curriculum:

The main effort of the Political Science program is to provide general education course, where the program has achieved good student success (including strong success figures across demographic groups), due to excellent full-time and part-time faculty. The program also has the AA-T degree which produces a small number of graduates each year. As indicated, program has sufficient resources to achieve its goals.

#### Areas of concern, if any:

As noted above, program level outcome assessment has not been happening, and this should be remedied. In addition, POLI Courses Outlines of Record should be updated to reflect current textbooks (a non-substantive but necessary change).

## Recommendations for improvement:

PLO assessment and curriculum updates should be completed before current term of program coordinator expires. 0

The small number of AA-T degree completions indicates this could be an area where Guided Pathways could assist, as there may be students who could be advised to complete the degree as part of their course of study.

#### Anticipated Resource Needs:

Resource Type	Description of Need (Initial, Including Justification and Direct Linkage to State of the Program)
Personnel: Faculty	
Personnel: Classified	
Personnel: Admin/Confidential	
Instructional Equipment	
Instructional Technology	
Facilities	
Operating Budget	
Professional Development/ Training	
Library & Learning Materials	